CURTIN AVENUE — REALIGNMENT

776.   Hon Ken Travers to the parliamentary secretary representing the Minister for Transport:

I refer to the allocation of funds for the Curtin Avenue realignment in the 2013–14 State Budget, and I ask:

(a)   can the Minister confirm that the State Government allocated:

    (i) $2 million in 2013–14;

    (ii) $5 million in 2014–15; and

    (iii) $33 million in 2015–16;

(b)   can the Minister confirm that this cash flow was based on a completion date of 2016;

(c)   can the Minister confirm that the funding allocation for this project was changed after the 2013–14 State Budget was presented to Parliament;

(d)   if yes to , can the Minister provide the new cash flow in each of the following financial years:

    (i)   2013–14;

    (ii)   2014–15;

    (iii)   2015–16;

    (iv)   2016–17; and

    (v)   2017–18;

(e)   what was the estimated date for completion of this project with the new cash flow in (d);

(f)   can the Minister confirm that this project had a further amendment to its cash flow as part of the Review of the Asset Investment Program that was reported in the 2013–14 Mid-Year Review;

(g)   if yes to (f), can the Minister provide the allocation for this project in each of the following financial years:

    (i)   2013–14;

    (ii)   2014–15;

    (iii)   2015–16;

    (iv)   2016–17; and

    (v)   2017–18;

(h)   if no to (f), can the Minister explain why the changes to cash flow for this project provided to the Standing Committee on Estimates and Financial Operations do not relate to the original cash flow provided in the 2013–14 Budget; and

(i)   what is the current estimated date for completion of this project?

Hon Jim Chown replied:

(a)   (i)–(iii) No

(b)   Not applicable

(c)   Yes it was changed as part of the 2013–14 Mid Year Review.

(d)   (i) $0.

    (ii) $0.

    (iii) $0.

    (iv) $5m.

    (v) $20m.

(e)   2018–19.

(f)   Yes.

(g)   (i)–(v) Refer to the response to question (d).

(h)   Not applicable

(i)   Refer to response to question (e).